



A review on the effect of smartphone usage on academic performance and attention span

Neeha Manimaran ¹, Intan Nur Diyana Husri ^{1*}, Nurul Syifaa Mohd Shaharudin ¹

¹ Faculty of Pharmacy, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Besut, Terengganu, Malaysia

*Corresponding author: intannurdiyana28@gmail.com

Citation:

Manimaran N, Husri IND, Shaharudin NSM. (2026). A review on the effect of smartphone usage on academic performance and attention span. *Journal of Biomedical Insights*,1(1)47-61

Abstract:

The surge in usage of smartphones among college students has altered the ways that learning, socialization and identity formation take place. However, the academic cost of smartphone use is less clear. Researchers are exploring whether spending more time on entertainment apps, social media, and multitasking while studying could harm attention and academic performance due to smartphone dependence. The aim of this review is to summarize in a concise way the link between academic performance, attention span and smartphone use among students. Thirty-five peer-reviewed papers dating from 2020 to 2025 were obtained from Google Scholar, PubMed, Scopus and ResearchGate based on specific key words and inclusion criteria. The methods for assessing the relationship between smartphone habits and educational results, using various statistical procedures such as Pearson correlation, multiple regression analysis etc. varied across these studies. One of the common findings throughout studies is that excessive use and non-academic usage of smartphones is negatively associated with academic performance and the attention in studying tasks. In contrast, a small number of studies either showed no effect or positive impact if smartphone use was predominantly academic/task oriented. Collectively, the studies reviewed argue that unrestricted excessive use of smartphones may be a threat to learning and attention regulation. Longitudinal and experimental research in the future is necessary to explore causation and find balanced paths of use in classroom environments.

Keywords: Smart phone dependence, Academic performance, Attention span, College students, Digital distraction, social media usage, Multitasking, Literature review, Higher education, learning environments.

Received: 02-12-2025

Revised: 13-03-2026

Accepted: 05-05-2026

Published: 30-06-2026

Introduction

In the past decade, smartphones have gone from being a status item to being an everyday gadget that younger and younger children are using. Students can use smartphones for educational and personal purposes. They are connecting to course materials, engaging in virtual classroom activities, and completing course-related tasks with their smartphones. The increasing use of smartphones is negatively impacting academics and mental health. Smartphones can detract from learning what is most important in classroom activities (e.g. sustained attention and working memory). Recent studies indicate that attention resources are not only diminished by smartphone use, but also by having a smartphone in the environment [1]. There is a tendency to direct attention, albeit spontaneously, to an idle smartphone.

Different educational settings have studied the relationship between smartphone addiction and students' academic performance. Excessive time spent using a smartphone has been linked with poor school performance including poorer GPA, reduced comprehension in lectures and high levels of procrastination while studying [2]. There has been much focus in this debate on cognitive characteristics and heavy smartphone users exhibit poor resistance to interrupting tasks are more likely to switch among tasks and have lower

Copyright: © 2026 The Author(s).
Licensed under a Creative Commons
Attribution (CC BY) 4.0 International
License. Users are free to share and
adapt the material by giving an
appropriate credit to the original
author(s).



attention away from attending deeply while learning [3]. A quasi-experimental study also found that among students who were within the same instructional environment, those using multitasking with a smartphone during a lesson scored significantly lower on post-lecture outcomes than did their classmates who did not use any device [4]. However, some studies have reported that smartphones can enhance learning when used purposefully for academic purposes, including accessing educational materials and promoting student-lecturer engagement [5].

Although many studies have already investigated the use of smartphones by university students in their learning, there is much scattered evidence. There are studies which depict smartphones as distraction devices which lead to attention interference and academic decay [2–4], whereas others have reported the absence of neutral or positive influence on academics when it comes to use of smartphones for educational purposes [5]. This inconsistency has made it difficult to conclude whether using smartphones is inherently negative for academic success or the effect depends on behaviour related variables, usage purpose and attentional control. Studies have also differed in methodology and statistical procedures which makes it difficult to directly compare across the literature. As a consequence, there is no unified synthesis which establishes how smartphone use affects attention and academic performance in people across cultures at present.

This review aims to consolidate the obtained results through recent studies and investigate the existing statistical techniques on this issue, in order to provide a more concrete understanding on how smartphone usage impacts student's academic performance and attention.

Methodology

This review was carried out using a simple narrative review approach to summaries existing research on how smartphone usage and smartphone addiction affect students' academic performance. The purpose of this methodology is to explain the steps taken to select, screen, and review the articles used in this assignment.

Search strategy

The articles were identified manually through Google Scholar, PubMed, ScienceDirect, and reputable journal websites. These articles were also selected based on their relevance to the topic and availability of full text. DOIs were prioritised to ensure accuracy and credibility.

Screening process

1. Duplicates removal: Retrieved records were uploaded into citation management software, then the duplicates were removed.
2. Initial screenings: Titles and abstract were reviewed to determine the relevance of smartphone screen time, academic achievement and sleep quality. Unrelated studies were discarded.
3. Full-Text Evaluation: Articles that passed the initial screenings were read in full to confirm eligibility.
4. Final selection: Articles meeting all inclusion criteria were retained.

Eligibility criteria

The following inclusion and exclusion criteria were established prior to the selection of studies to ensure transparency, reproducibility and that all included studies were aligned with the review's objectives. The articles were included if they met the inclusion criteria and were excluded if met exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria

- Recent studies, published between 2020 to 2025.
- Written in English.
- Peer-reviewed journal articles with accessible full texts.
- Studies involving students (primary, secondary, or university).
- Articles examining smartphone use, problematic use, or smartphone addiction.
- Studies reporting quantitative data such as sample size, statistical results, and measured variables relevant to academic performance.

Exclusion criteria

- Published before 2020.
- Not written in English.
- Review commentaries, editorials, or conference abstracts.
- Articles without clear data, results, or methodology.
- Studies involving non-student populations (general adults, workers).
- Articles focusing on technology use unrelated to academic achievement.

Findings and Discussions

Demographic Adjustments and Sleep Quality Profiles

When adjusting for gender, age, and ethnicity, research indicates that higher levels of bedtime mobile phone use are strongly linked to diminished sleep quality and lower academic performance; however, no specific correlation has been established with cognitive functioning domains such as verbal memory or attention. An increase in the Bedtime Mobile Phone Use score slightly enhanced the predictive capacity for academic performance (accounting for an additional 1% of explained variance) and sleep quality (adding approximately 3%). These marginal effect sizes imply that numerous compounding factors influence these outcomes. Furthermore, self-selection biases and the evaluation of only two cognitive domains may explain the lack of association with cognitive test results [6].

The frequency, timing, and environmental context of mobile phone use can directly interfere with sleep through physiological mechanisms (such as melatonin suppression) or psychological pathways (such as emotional arousal), leading to daytime fatigue, cognitive inefficiency, and decreased academic engagement. To protect sleep health and academic outcomes, implementing sleep-hygiene measures—such as limiting device use in bed—and encouraging students to practice "mobiquette" (mobile phone etiquette) is highly recommended. Noted limitations include the use of self-report measures for sleep and mobile use, a cross-sectional design that precludes causal inference, restricted cognitive assessments, and the absence of confounding variables like mood, psychiatric conditions, or alternative device usage. Future longitudinal studies should incorporate objective metrics for mobile use, comprehensive cognitive domains, alternative gadgets (e.g., tablets), and mental health variables [6].

Smartphone Addiction and Cognitive Depletion

Among university students, higher levels of smartphone addiction—as determined by the Smartphone Addiction Scale-Short Version (SAS-SV)—are significantly linked to poorer sleep quality via the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) and lower academic performance via Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA). While these correlations are modest, the

findings support the hypothesis that sleep quality mediates the effects of smartphone addiction on academic performance. Excessive use often results in delayed bedtimes, prolonged sleep latency, and poor sleep maintenance, all of which impair cognitive function, focus, and learning capacity [7]. Smartphones interfere with circadian rhythms by exposing users to blue light and inducing cognitive stimulation before sleep, thereby reducing restorative sleep and negatively impacting memory consolidation, decision-making, attention span, and academic marks. Consequently, educational institutions should look beyond merely reducing usage time; they must incorporate healthy digital habits. Educating students on the potential academic and cognitive harms of addictive device behavior remains critical [7]. However, cross-sectional designs make it impossible to establish definitive causal pathways (e.g., whether poor sleep drives a low CGPA, or if lower-performing students engage more heavily with smartphones). Self-reported tracking also introduces response bias. Future research should prioritize experimental designs that incorporate objective usage logs and account for moderating variables such as study hours, total sleep duration, and explicit usage purposes [7].

Behavioral Determinants: Locus of Control and Procrastination

Structural models designed to test how smartphone addiction-related factors affect academic achievement have accounted for up to 73% of the variance in student performance. Among the variables studied, the duration of social media use emerged as the strongest predictor, with increased usage directly tied to lower academic performance [8]. Smartphone addiction negatively impacts academic outcomes through both direct and indirect pathways, as uncontrolled use limits active study time, focus, and classroom engagement. Academic and digital procrastination consistently correlate with lower accomplishment by diverting critical attention and study time, illustrating how multitasking and digital distractions disrupt the learning process [8]. Interventions should therefore promote responsible smartphone usage, foster an internal locus of control, reduce procrastination, and raise awareness regarding excessive social media consumption. Future research must look past regional, cross-sectional samples and self-report measures to adopt longitudinal or experimental methodologies across more diverse populations [8].

Gender Differences and Attentional Impact

The high rate of smartphone use among college students is an escalating public health and academic concern, particularly given evidence that smartphone addiction (assessed via the SAS) correlates negatively with both academic performance (CGPA/SGPA) and sleep quality (PSQI). While significant gender differences may not appear in overall sleep quality or smartphone addiction scores, male students' SGPA's often differ considerably from female students. This suggests that although addiction and sleep issues are equally prevalent across both genders, the downstream impacts on academic performance may be moderated by gender-specific factors [9].

Higher smartphone addiction scores match decreased sleep quality, noting that students demonstrating addictive tendencies experience worse sleep profiles that ultimately compromise academic success. The primary mechanisms driving this decline include: Reduced total study hours, Fragmented sleep architectures, Diminished focus and attention during lectures.

Adequate, restorative sleep is foundational to academic achievement due to its role in cognitive function, sustained attention, and memory consolidation [9]. Educational institutions must develop awareness initiatives to assist students in managing smartphone use, particularly during evening hours. Acknowledged limitations of these findings include their cross-sectional nature, localized single-institution sampling, and a reliance on self-report tools that introduce inherent biases [9].

Screen Media Consumption Profiles and Socioeconomic Factors

Adolescent data highlights a significant correlation between lower academic performance, shorter sleep duration, and higher levels of sedentary screen media consumption (SMU). Teenagers who excel academically consistently exhibit longer sleep durations and minimized screen time [10]. Additionally, a direct topological association exists between academic achievement and socioeconomic status (SES), highlighting SES as a major predictor of academic success.

Self-organizing map (SOM) analyses demonstrate that age, gender, sleep duration, and SMU patterns interact in complex, non-linear ways. For instance, female students tend to engage more heavily in mobile communication, whereas male students favor video games and computer play with these distinct usage patterns displaying varied relationships with academic performance and sleep. Consequently, institutional efforts to improve academic outcomes should not focus exclusively on reducing screen time; they must simultaneously promote adequate sleep and account for socio-demographic backgrounds (SES, age, and gender). Setting guidelines regarding the timing and duration of screen media use is vital [10].

Because these studies are cross-sectional, causality cannot be definitively inferred, and self-reported metrics remain vulnerable to recall bias. While large, nationally representative samples add strength, clustering and SOM techniques should be treated as exploratory approaches. Ultimately, these gender-, age-, and SES-graded behavioral profiles can inform targeted, multi-tiered strategies within educational and public health settings to foster healthier media consumption, optimized sleep quality, and superior academic outcomes [10].

Institutional and Specialized Demographics: Medical and Nursing Students

Among undergraduate medical and nursing students, a strong positive correlation ($r = 0.86$) exists between total screen time and global sleep quality scores, confirming that increased screen exposure directly matches worse objective sleep quality [11]. This underscores the expanding influence of excessive screen time on student well-being. Interestingly, while subjective sleep quality was rated as "good" by a majority of participants (84.9%), a substantial subset (42.2%) still met the clinical criteria for "poor" global sleep quality. This discrepancy indicates that self-perceived sleep metrics often fail to align with objective health indices.

No significant relationship was found between screen time and short-term attention span (measured via digit span forward/backward tasks), suggesting that while screen time compromises sleep quality, it may not directly impair immediate attention spans within this population. This could mean the digit span test is too blunt an instrument to capture subtle cognitive shifts, or that compounding variables heavily buffer attention. The proposed mechanisms indicate that extended, non-academic screen time delays bedtimes, increases blue light exposure, and heightens mental stimulation—disrupting sleep architecture and reducing total sleep hours [11].

Disrupted sleep subsequently damages cognitive recovery, focus, learning consolidation, and mood, translating into poorer academic performance. Medical and nursing undergraduates are uniquely vulnerable due to rigorous academic demands and irregular clinical schedules. Institutions should promote balanced screen use and sleep hygiene by limiting recreational device use before bed, implementing structural device-free periods, educating students on the physiological impacts of blue light and persistent notifications, and monitoring screen time within campus health initiatives [11].

Limitations include a cross-sectional design, reliance on self-reported usage data vulnerable to recall or social desirability bias, single-institution sampling, and attention

metrics that may not reflect real-world cognitive functioning. Future research must implement longitudinal tracking, objective screen monitoring, and broader cognitive assessments across diverse cohorts [11].

Device-Specific Thresholds and Usage Intentions

In demographic assessments of Thai university students, approximately 48.4% of participants exceeded defined device-specific thresholds for excessive digital screen time (EDST), with tablets (29.4%) and smartphones (22.9%) serving as the primary drivers [12]. Younger age and enrollment in health science faculties were significantly associated with EDST (adjusted odds ratios: AOR = 0.79 for each additional year of age; AOR = 1.7 for health science enrollment). Regarding explicit usage patterns, smartphones were primarily utilized for social media (73.1%), whereas tablets and computers were leveraged for educational purposes (28.4% and 19.3%, respectively) [12].

The high prevalence of EDST among health science students likely stems from an increased reliance on mobile devices for blended learning and leisure alongside demanding academic workloads [12]. Although 61.9% of participants exhibited poor sleep quality—potentially driven by pre-bedtime cognitive activation and blue light exposure—adjusted multivariate models revealed no significant correlations between EDST and academic performance or mental health measures (such as depression, anxiety, stress, self-esteem, or loneliness) [12].

This lack of association may stem from student resilience, cross-sectional limitations, or the specific configuration of EDST hour cut-offs, which fail to differentiate between the quality or purpose of screen time (leisure vs. education). This masking effect highlights a key limitation, compounded by potential social desirability biases and quota sampling from a single institution, which limits broader generalizability [12].

Attention Control and the Fragmented Attention Paradox

In college cohorts, smartphone addiction shows a weak negative correlation with attentional control (ACS scores) and a significant, weak positive correlation ($r = 0.28$ for addiction vs. sleep; $r = -0.22$ for addiction vs. attention) with poor sleep quality (PSQI) [13]. These findings imply that heightened smartphone addiction matches poorer sleep and diminished attention spans. However, the small magnitude of these correlations proves that smartphone addiction is merely one variable among many influencing sleep and cognitive focus [13].

Young adults exhibiting high addiction scores face sleep disruptions from late-night usage and persistent device alerts, creating a negative feedback loop that damages their ability to focus on academic tasks. Although attention control was measured rather than direct academic outcomes, these patterns likely impair long-term academic achievement [13].

Counterintuitively, research also indicates that increased time spent using smartphones for school-related learning activities is negatively correlated with CGPA [14]. While smartphones facilitate learning-oriented tasks (such as downloading materials or reviewing notes), their use frequently involves fragmented attention and heavy multitasking, such as switching between apps or responding to notifications. This hybrid approach blending learning with digital entertainment hinders deep cognitive processing, aligning with psychological evidence that off-task digital distractions impair learning efficiency [14].

Furthermore, variations across faculties suggest that discipline and course structures moderate how students use devices for learning. Simply increasing smartphone-based learning without structured design or instructor support leads to superficial engagement (e.g., "plug-and-go" quick look-ups) rather than deep processing. Educators should avoid adopting mobile learning by default. Instead, they must offer structured frameworks, teach distraction-avoidance strategies, and acknowledge limitations regarding single-institution, short-term usage records [14].

Psychological Dependence and Nighttime Disruption

While smartphones offer connectivity and information access, excessive use, especially late at night disrupts regular sleep-wake cycles and attentional control, impairing students' capacity to manage daily academic demands [15]. Beyond cognitive effects, excessive smartphone reliance triggers distinct negative social and psychological outcomes:

This psychological burden indirectly harms academic performance by weakening the emotional and mental reserves required for productive study [15]. Late-night usage and continuous notifications create a cycle of cognitive stimulation that induces daytime fatigue. Educational policies must reject the assumption that all technology integration is inherently beneficial, actively teaching healthy boundaries for nighttime device use. Acknowledged limitations include convenience sampling, restricted sample sizes, and a reliance on self-report measures [15].

Re-evaluating Thai university student data confirms that 48.4% of individuals exceed EDST thresholds, driven by smartphones for social media (73.1%) and tablets for education [16]. Younger students and health science majors remain the most vulnerable demographic (AOR = 0.79 for age; AOR = 1.7 for faculty). After controlling for covariates, EDST did not serve as an independent predictor of altered academic performance or mental health indicators, suggesting that content type, timing, and intentionality mitigate the raw impact of screen time [16].

Promoting digital literacy, time management, and device-free study blocks is more effective than enforcing arbitrary time limits. Merely restricting usage duration is insufficient; the context, content type, and timing of screen interaction must be factored into student health initiatives. Noted limitations include female over-representation, self-reported metrics, a lack of fine-grained purpose tracking, cross-sectional constraints, and quota sampling [16].

Quantitative Predictors and Advanced Regression Models

Cross-sectional surveys using validated scales and hierarchical multiple regression models reveal that smartphone addiction remains a robust negative predictor of academic performance (CGPA) even after controlling for demographic variables like age and gender [17]. This confirms that problematic, compulsive usage patterns—rather than mere device ownership—drive learning deficits. Theoretically, this is tied to attentional depletion and the displacement of study time; repeated checking habits and entertainment-seeking behaviors undermine concentration spans and reduce both the quantity and quality of study sessions. While cross-sectional designs restrict causal conclusions, the consistent negative trend justifies implementing self-regulation interventions focused on academic tasks rather than outright device prohibition [17].

Synthesizing empirical evidence through narrative literature reviews demonstrates that the impact of smartphone usage on academic achievement spans multiple educational tiers [18]. Utilizing Pearson correlations, multiple regressions, ANOVA, and structural equation modeling (SEM), literature indicates that non-academic usage (social media, messaging, and entertainment) consistently correlates with lower academic performance. Conversely, academically oriented smartphone use (accessing e-learning materials, contacting instructors, or using educational applications) yields neutral or positive outcomes [18].

Total screen time is not the ultimate determinant of academic success; rather, the user's explicit intention and capacity for self-regulation dictate the outcome. Methodological heterogeneity, divergent measuring instruments, and varied definitions of excessive use constitute major literature limitations, highlighting the need for standardized operational definitions in future research [18].

Meta-Analytic Evidence and Structural Models

Random-effects meta-analyses pooling data across independent studies confirm a statistically significant, moderate negative effect size between smartphone addiction and student learning outcomes (test scores, course grades, and objective activities) [19]. Moderator analyses indicate that this negative relationship is significantly stronger among university and college students than among younger learners. This vulnerability is likely driven by the rigorous academic workloads and independent learning expectations characteristic of higher education.

Cognitive load and reward-processing theories explain these findings: continuous smartphone-related reward cues interrupt active attention, impairing the deep processing required for complex academic tasks. Despite the rigorous nature of meta-analyses incorporating publication-bias tests, variations in addiction scales and outcome measures remain a limitation [19].

Further meta-analytic data targeting problematic smartphone use (PSU) via the Smartphone Addiction Scale (SAS) confirms a small-to-moderate negative correlation with academic achievement indices (GPA, exam scores, and academic rankings) [20]. Subgroup analyses across educational levels and regions reveal that emotional dependency, compulsive checking, and withdrawal-like symptoms are most strongly tied to academic decline.

Maladaptive coping theory suggests that students frequently deploy smartphones to escape academic stress or negative emotions, which inadvertently fosters chronic procrastination, cyberloafing, and severe focus deficits during study blocks [20].

Finally, course-specific case studies examining smartphone usage patterns confirm that their academic impact is highly dependent on behavioral context and task congruence [21]. Splitting usage into explicit self-reported tasks (e.g., reading notes and accessing learning platforms vs. gaming and social networking) and evaluating performance via independent t-tests and ANOVA revealed that students who primarily leveraged smartphones for course material achieved significantly higher learning performance. Conversely, those engaging with non-academic content during active learning sessions demonstrated lower classroom engagement and poorer grades. This provides empirical backing for the dual nature of smartphones, demonstrating that their impact relies entirely on behavioral context and task congruence. However, small, single-institution sample sizes mean off-task behaviors may be underestimated, requiring caution before broad generalizability can be claimed [21].

The Displacement Hypothesis and Multitasking Efficiency

Cross-sectional correlational research evaluating the relationship between the number of hours of total smartphone use and academic performance in undergraduate cohorts confirms that Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA) serves as a primary outcome measure negatively impacted by high usage [22]. When analyzing daily usage tracking gathered through structured questionnaires, initial Pearson correlation tests show a strong, statistically significant negative relationship between daily hours spent on devices and overall CGPA.

This inverse relationship remains robust within hierarchical multiple regression models adjusted for age, gender, and other demographic covariates. The absolute duration of smartphone use acts as an independent negative predictor of academic achievement, demonstrating that screen-time habits, specifically recreational and leisure use are sufficient on their own to suppress academic metrics [22].

Psychological Predictors and Self-Restraint Theory

Investigating the explicit psychological predictors of smartphone addiction reveals intricate pathways that directly alter student learning performance and mediate academic decline [23]. Standardized psychometric scales tracking smartphone addiction, impulsivity,

boredom proneness, and emotional dependency indicate that psychological traits heavily dictate device reliance. When evaluated via hierarchical and mediation regression models, higher smartphone addiction scores directly match lower academic achievement. Crucially, impulsivity and boredom proneness emerge as highly significant mediators of this negative correlation [23].

Students exhibiting elevated baseline impulsivity and higher boredom proneness are more susceptible to developing problematic smartphone addictions. This behavioral dependence subsequently undermines learning behaviors, fractures situational focus, and degrades emotional stability, generating a down-step in final learning performance.

Descriptive survey frameworks tracking potential associations between smartphone exposure frequency and self-reported attention span in adolescent cohorts highlight critical cognitive vulnerabilities. Utilizing structural online questionnaires to map daily usage frequency against the duration of uninterrupted task completion before distraction occurs, Pearson's correlation tests indicate that students with elevated smartphone exposure exhibit a significantly lower attention span ($p < 0.05$). This confirms that higher smartphone exposure leads to an inability to sustain cognitive concentration over extended periods [24].

Functional Divergence in Device Utility

Evaluating the distinct educational and learning outcomes associated with smartphone use requires a clear operational division between academic and recreational activities [25]. Multiple regression models analyzing self-report metrics of perceived learning effectiveness, classroom engagement, and conceptual understanding confirm that smartphones simultaneously act as a structural asset and a liability. Students' perceived educational engagement and comprehension are negatively predicted by recreational device utility (e.g., social networking, mobile gaming), whereas they are positively predicted by targeted academic utilization (e.g., accessing learning management systems, reviewing course materials, and participating in structured online discussions) [25].

This functional divergence demonstrates that the final impact of mobile technology is dictated by user behavioral patterns and the pedagogical structure provided by instructors. Off-task smartphone interactions during active lecture blocks should be discouraged, whereas purposeful, task-congruent smartphone use should be systematically embedded into course designs [26].

This dual-pathway relationship is further validated through Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), where path analyses confirm that unguided recreational use directly amplifies student distraction, while structured academic smartphone use increases overall engagement and buffers against external distractions [27].

Educational Ecosystems and Cross-National Variations

A comparative analysis of published literature across multiple countries reveals that cultural norms, institutional frameworks, and national educational ecosystems heavily moderate the final impact of smartphone technology on learning outcomes [26]. In international jurisdictions where mobile devices are systematically deployed and treated as primary academic resources (e.g., integrated national e-learning platforms, direct digital communication portals with tutors, and interactive learning systems), the correlation between student device use and final academic performance trends toward neutral or positive outcomes.

Conversely, in educational systems where smartphone integration is unguided and primarily dominated by recreational use, research indicates a high correlation with classroom distraction and a drop in overall academic performance [26]. These differences emphasize that the device itself is not inherently destructive; rather, its effects are shaped by the surrounding institutional environment.

Psychological, Behavioral, and Somatic Impacts of Smartphone Addiction on Academic Performance

The negative impact of smartphone addiction on academic achievement operates through both direct time-displacement pathways and indirect psychological processes, particularly the amplification of academic anxiety [29]. Large-scale structural evaluations ($N = 2,097$) using path analysis and moderated-mediation modeling (PROCESS) reveal a statistically significant baseline negative correlation between smartphone addiction and academic performance ($r = -0.05, p < 0.05$) [29]. However, the true damage of problematic device use becomes clearer when examining its psychological toll. Crucially, moderation analyses reveal that a student's sense of academic control acts as a vital buffer: students with high internal self-regulation are significantly less affected by the negative impacts of smartphone addiction. This proves that the academic decline stemming from device overuse is not merely a consequence of lost study time; it is driven by the emotional and cognitive burdens of compulsive use, including elevated situational anxiety, the fear of missing out (FOMO) or falling behind, and a steady loss of confidence in one's academic capabilities. Problematic smartphone use damages psychological well-being and weakens a student's ability to regulate their thinking, creating a substantial barrier to academic success [29]. Large-scale case studies ($N = 1,321$) exploring student perceptions show that undergraduate populations widely recognize smartphone addiction as a primary barrier to academic success.

Statistical trends, chi-square tests, and group variance comparisons confirm that students with high screen time display distinct behavioral challenges, including poor lecture concentration, low academic motivation, chronic procrastination, and disrupted study routines. Correlational analyses show a consistent negative link between self-perceived phone dependence and academic standing, verifying that students who feel controlled by their devices are highly prone to experiencing a decline in their grades.

These patterns align with a growing body of evidence showing that smartphone addiction drains limited cognitive resources through habitual media multitasking and constant sensory stimulation [30]. Evaluations centered on high-risk student cohorts, such as health sciences undergraduates ($N = 343$), show an exceptionally high prevalence of smartphone-related issues, where behavioral dependence goes hand-in-hand with academic difficulties and somatic health complaints. Factor analysis and multiple regression models show that 48.1% of these students utilize their devices between 4 and 6 hours daily, while 84.6% experience acute anxiety when separated from their phones—a clear indicator of strong behavioral dependence [31]. A systematic synthesis of empirical evidence across diverse methodologies (including correlation, multiple regression, SEM, ANOVA, and experimental designs) confirms a clear, cross-cultural trend: problematic smartphone use consistently damages digital well-being and disrupts student academic outcomes. Despite variations in measurement tools—such as time-use logs, standardized addiction scales, and classroom distraction metrics—the negative direction of the effect remains uniform across the literature. This consistency underscores the measurable cognitive and emotional burden that excessive device use places on student populations. Problematic smartphone use does more than simply waste time; it alters fundamental cognitive processing by embedding fragmented multitasking habits, normalizing distracted attention patterns, and increasing emotional dependency, which reduces baseline learning capacity and leads to academic underachievement [32].

Crucially, tightly controlled experiments ($N = 42$) provide strong causal evidence that smartphones impair human attention even when they are not actively being used. By randomly assigning participants to smartphone-present and smartphone-absent environments and tracking focus via the d2-R attention test, ANOVA and ANCOVA models reveal that the smartphone-present group performs significantly worse in basal attentional capacity [33].

The cognitive cost of smartphones does not require active use; it is driven by the unconscious mental load spent simply managing their presence. The human mind remains quietly primed for potential notifications, messages, or interactions, creating a form of cognitive leakage. This subtle process drains finite attentional resources and shrinks the cognitive bandwidth available for primary tasks that require deep focus. In an educational setting, this finding is vital: simply asking students to turn off their phone screens is insufficient; the mere physical presence of the device within their visual field continues to degrade learning performance [33]. Quasi-experimental classroom interventions ($N = 106$) show that enforcing physical smartphone restrictions significantly improves real-time lecture comprehension, though it yields mixed results regarding short-term psychosocial well-being. Using independent t-tests and ANOVA, research demonstrates that students required to place their mobile devices at the front of the classroom achieve significantly higher scores on immediate lecture comprehension assessments than peers who retain device possession. This clear quantitative difference confirms that the immediate presence of a smartphone disrupts real-time information processing, fully aligning with cognitive load theory [34]. However, while removing devices frees up vital cognitive resources for better learning, it can simultaneously trigger emotional discomfort and separation anxiety among highly dependent users.

Logistic regression models ($N = 500$) further show that smartphone addiction (measured via the SPAI scale) is a powerful predictor of placement in lower GPA brackets, with an earlier age of initial device exposure and higher daily usage hours acting as primary risk factors. Over time, early and heavy exposure builds entrenched habits of poor self-regulation and high distractibility that erode academic success [35].

Beyond general population trends, regression analyses reveal that these behavioral patterns vary significantly by gender, with male students exhibiting higher baseline levels of addiction and more pronounced negative impacts on their academic outcomes. This difference is largely driven by gender-specific usage patterns, as male individuals are statistically more likely to engage in immersive gaming and entertainment activities that carry a inherently stronger potential for classroom and study disruption. These addiction-related behaviors: such as compulsive checking, heightened impulsivity, and constant media multitasking, systematically reduce a student's ability to focus, complete assignments on schedule, and retain core information.

Furthermore, when broken down into explicit dimensions through factor analysis, smartphone addiction manifests as a multidimensional problem composed of three distinct domains: overuse, tolerance, and daily-life disturbance. Among these, overuse acts as the single most powerful predictor of academic decline, illustrating that the sheer time-consuming nature of compulsive checking directly eats into active study blocks and drops learning productivity. Concurrently, tolerance defined as the felt psychological need to use the device for increasing durations to achieve satisfaction and daily-life disturbance severely fracture basic routines and undermine long-term academic consistency. Because these core components of addiction retain their statistical significance even after rigorously controlling for demographic variables, they establish that problematic smartphone use creates an independent, highly disruptive barrier to a student's cognitive self-control and ultimate academic success.

Conclusion

Overall, the findings from all reviewed studies show a clear pattern. Smartphone addiction and excessive smartphone use harm students' academic performance through cognitive, emotional, and behavioral pathways. Various methods including correlation analyses, regression models, logistic regression, experimental designs, and systematic reviews support this evidence. High smartphone dependency is linked to reduced attention, impaired concentration, poorer time management, more procrastination, and less academic engagement. Several studies show that factors like academic anxiety, emotional dependence, and sleep disruption worsen academic outcomes. Experimental evidence also indicates that just having a smartphone nearby can drain cognitive resources. While some research suggests smartphones can help learning when used intentionally for academic purposes, the majority of the evidence shows that problematic or addictive use often leads to worse academic results. These findings suggest that smartphone addiction is a significant barrier to academic success. Interventions focused on digital self-regulation, healthier study habits, and greater awareness of the cognitive and emotional costs of excessive smartphone use should address this issue.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

The authors received no any financial support for this publication.

References:

1. Skowronek J, Seifert A, Lindberg S. The mere presence of a smartphone reduces basal attentional performance. *Sci Rep*. 2023;13(1):9447. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-36256-4>
2. Ng SF, Hassan NSIC, Nor NHM, Malek NAA. The relationship between smartphone use and academic performance: a case of students in a Malaysian tertiary institution. *MOJET*. 2017;5(4):58–70. <https://mojet.net/index.php/mojet/article/view/110>
3. Wilmer HH, Sherman LE, Chein JM. Smartphones and cognition: a review of research exploring the links between mobile technology habits and cognitive functioning. *Front Psychol*. 2017;8:605. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00605>
4. Huey M, Giguere D. The impact of smartphone use on course comprehension and psychological well-being in the college classroom. *Innov High Educ*. 2022;48(3):527–537. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10755-022-09638-1>
5. Wang JC, Hsieh C, Kung S. The impact of smartphone use on learning effectiveness: a case study of primary school students. *Educ Inf Technol*. 2022;28(6):6287–6320. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11430-9>
6. Ragupathi D, Ibrahim N, Tan KA, Andrew BN. Relations of bedtime mobile phone use to cognitive functioning, academic performance, and sleep quality in undergraduate students. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(19):7131. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17197131>
7. Rathakrishnan B, Bikar Singh SS, Kamaluddin MR, et al. Smartphone addiction and sleep quality on academic performance of university students: an exploratory research. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(16):8291. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18168291>
8. Kutluay E, Karaca F. A model proposal explaining the influence of smartphone addiction related factors on high school students' academic success. *Educ Inf Technol*. 2025;30(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12947-x>
9. Marryam M, Khan SA, Mussarat A, Bibi G. Effects of smartphone overuse on quality of sleep and academics among university students. *J Nurs Allied Health*. 2023;1(3):78–82. <https://supp.journalrmc.com/jnah/index.php/public/article/view/26>
10. Peiró-Velert C, Valencia-Peris A, González LM, et al. Screen media usage, sleep time and academic performance in adolescents: clustering a self-organizing maps analysis. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(6):e99472. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0099472>
11. Sahu DP, Taywade M, Malla PS, et al. Screen time among medical and nursing students and its correlation with sleep quality and attention span: a cross-sectional study. *Cureus*. 2024;16(4):e58143. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.58143>
12. Kaewpradit K, Ngamchaliew P, Buathong N. Digital screen time usage, prevalence of excessive digital screen time, and its association with mental health, sleep quality, and academic performance among Southern University students. *Front Psychiatry*. 2025;16:1535631. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1535631>
13. Deshmukh AK, Sheth M. Correlation of smartphone addiction with sleep quality and attention in college students of Ahmedabad. *J Integr Health Sci*. 2024;12(2):104–109. https://doi.org/10.4103/jihs.jihs_15_24
14. Ng SF, Che Hassan NSI, Mohammad Nor NH, Abdul Malek NA. The relationship between smartphone use and academic performance: a case of students in a Malaysian tertiary institution. *Malays Online J Educ Technol*. 2017;5(4):58–70. <https://mojet.net/index.php/mojet/article/view/110>
15. Arumugam N, Selvanayagam S, Sathiyasenan ST. The effects of smartphone usage on university students. *Int J Acad Res Progress Educ Dev*. 2020;9(3):170–183. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v9-i3/7960>

16. Kaewpradit K, Ngamchaliew P, Buathong N. Digital screen time usage, prevalence of excessive digital screen time, and its association with mental health, sleep quality, and academic performance among Southern University students. *Front Psychiatry*. 2025;16:1535631. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2025.1535631>
17. Mushtaq I. The impact of smartphones on the academic performance of university students. *Proc Int Conf Adv Res Educ Teach Learn*. 2024;1(1):13–25. <https://doi.org/10.33422/aretl.v1i1.188>
18. Amez S, Baert S. Smartphone use and academic performance: a literature review. *Int J Educ Res*. 2020;103:101618. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2020.101618>
19. Sunday OJ, Adesope OO, Maarhuis PL. The effects of smartphone addiction on learning: a meta-analysis. *Comput Hum Behav Rep*. 2021;4:100114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2021.100114>
20. Paterna A, Alcaraz-Ibáñez M, Aguilar-Parra JM, et al. Problematic smartphone use and academic achievement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Behav Addict*. 2024;13(2):313–326. <https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.2024.00014>
21. Wang JC, Hsieh C, Kung S. The impact of smartphone use on learning effectiveness: a case study of primary school students. *Educ Inf Technol*. 2022;28(6):6287–6320. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11430-9>
22. Sapci O, Elhai JD, Amialchuk A, Montag C. The relationship between smartphone use and students' academic performance. *Learn Individ Differ*. 2021;89:102035. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2021.102035>
23. Bugti NSM, Bugti NF, Sarhandi NPSA. Determinants of smartphone addiction and its influence on students' academic performance. *PJDOL*. 2023;9(2). <https://doi.org/10.30971/pjdl.v9i2.1894>
24. Khan SA. Effects of smartphone on attention span of youth. [Preprint]. 2022. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358199068>
25. Yilmaz M. The impact of smartphone use on university students' education. [Preprint]. 2024. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390842271>
26. Sun Z, Li C. The relationship between smartphone use and academic performance among university students: evidence from a multi-national desk research. *Adv Educ Technol Psychol*. 2024;8(1). <https://clausiuspress.com/article/16546.html>
27. Deng Z, Cheng Z, Ferreira P, Pavlou PA. From smartphones to smart students: learning vs. distraction using smartphones in the classroom. *Inf Syst Res*. 2025;36(1). <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2022.0078>
28. Hsieh C. The impact of smartphone usage frequency on university students' academic performance: a meta-analysis of moderating factors. *Acta Psychol*. 2025;259:105374. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.105374>
29. Zhang J, Zeng Y. Effect of college students' smartphone addiction on academic achievement: the mediating role of academic anxiety and moderating role of sense of academic control. *Psychol Res Behav Manag*. 2024;17:933–944. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S442924>
30. Hashemi A, Noori AQ, Orfan SN, et al. Undergraduate students' perception of smartphone addiction and its impact on themselves and their academic performance: a case study. *Cogent Educ*. 2024;11(1):2340845. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2340845>
31. Shabani Z, Haxhija E, Pjetri E, Guli A. Smartphone addiction among university students. *Open Public Health J*. 2025;18(1). <https://doi.org/10.2174/0118749445414366250828054906>
32. Islambouli R, Ingram S, Farah JC, et al. Exploring the negative impact of smartphone usage on students' digital wellbeing: a systematic review of empirical studies. *Int J Hum-Comput Interact*. 2025:1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2025.2499943>
33. Skowronek J, Seifert A, Lindberg S. The mere presence of a smartphone reduces basal attentional performance. *Sci Rep*. 2023;13(1):9447. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-36256-4>

34. Huey M, Giguere D. The impact of smartphone use on course comprehension and psychological well-being in the college classroom. *Innov High Educ.* 2022;48(3):527–537. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10755-022-09638-1>
35. Yoezer K. Smartphone addiction among university students and its implications for learning. *South Asian J Soc Stud Econ.* 2023;19(2):28–33. <https://doi.org/10.9734/sajsse/2023/v19i2675>

Publisher's Note: The views, data, claims, and opinions expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position or endorsement of the publisher, editors, reviewers, or their affiliated organizations. No legal liability is assumed by the publisher, editors, or reviewers for the accuracy or practical use of the data disclosed. The publication of this material does not make the publisher, editorial team, or reviewers responsible for any damages incurred due to the information described in this article.